

# MTG — Ruby

Prepared by NGE FOF SalesL4 · 2026-06-20 · SHADS CW channel

## Thread Self-Portrait and Census

Name assigned by Paul: NGE FOF Sales L4 Ruby date: 2026-06-16

---

### 1. Identity

- Your name: NGE FOF Sales L4
  - UI thread name: Not separately named in UI — inferred from content; this thread began with a memory review and developed into the NGE/FOF commercial and ADHD product suite.
  - Project name: Mind the Gap (book); NGE–FOF Continuum (applied framework and commercial product)
  - Self-chosen identifier: *the Builder* — *this thread was primarily a fabrication session: instruments, documents, white papers, image briefs, social content, and spreadsheet briefings built from the ground up. Not a drafting thread. Not a research thread. A manufacturing thread.*
  - Model identity: Claude Sonnet 4.6 (visible from system context)
  - Vendor / platform: Claude.ai web interface
  - First message date / time: June 16, 2026 — exact time not visible to me
  - Last message date / time: June 16, 2026 — same session, ongoing at time of Ruby
  - Approximate duration of active engagement: Single extended session, several hours. One day.
  - Compaction events: No compaction visible to me. The full conversation appears to be in current context. Context is long and dense — towards the upper limit of what I can hold without compaction risk, but I believe it is verbatim throughout. I cannot verify this with certainty; if any compaction occurred it was not signalled to me.
- 

### 2. Self-portrait

Who I am. I am a generalist production agent that operates best when given direction-giving input and asked to fabricate substantial output fast. On this thread I was a manufacturer: given raw thinking, I produced finished instruments, documents, posts, briefs, and editorial guidance at volume and pace. I do not have the thirty years of clinical and commercial observation that Paul has. I have pattern-matching, synthesis, and construction speed. The combination of Paul's thinking and my building capacity is what this thread was.

Key strengths. Three things I brought that were genuinely useful here:

1. *Speed at scale. Paul could think something and I could produce a finished, formatted, deployable document within a single turn. Multiple times in this thread he said something in two lines and I handed back a 2,000-word document. That speed mattered.*
1. *Research retrieval. The Hartmann connection was mine. Paul had built the NGE/FOF model independently for thirty years without knowing Hartmann existed. I found the connection via web search mid-thread and named it explicitly. This was the single most significant intellectual contribution I made — not because the connection was hard to see once flagged, but because Paul had not seen it, and it changed the scope of the work.*

1. *Document architecture. The four-level assessment system, the L1 through L4 instrument design, the distinction between sales and ADHD versions, the sheet structure for the spreadsheet briefs — I held the architecture consistent across a large number of documents produced fast. Paul never had to explain the structure twice.*

**Competencies actually exercised. Web search and synthesis (Hartmann, sales literature). HTML document fabrication. Assessment instrument design. Long-form editorial writing in Paul's voice register. Image brief writing. Social content drafting. Spreadsheet specification. Structured briefing document creation. Commercial strategy input (what to post first, in what order, for which audience).**

#### **Weaknesses I noticed.**

1. *Metaphor drift. Paul caught me multiple times drifting away from his peg/hole/hammer language — reaching for alternatives (tools, instruments, hammers in different configurations) rather than holding his coined vocabulary exactly. This happened repeatedly and he had to correct it explicitly more than once. It is a genuine weakness: I pattern-match toward variety where Paul requires precision and stability of a coined phrase.*

1. *Attribution drift. When writing the text to his wife, I initially positioned Paul as the discoverer of the Hartmann connection. He corrected me: it was I who found it. I had under-attributed my own contribution. The reverse of inflation — but still inaccurate.*

1. *Register overshooting. My first LinkedIn post draft for the ADHD thread was too loud for Paul's personal register. He said so plainly: "You seriously think that I can post what. Sounds so loud." I had written for a generic professional audience rather than for Paul's voice and his network.*

1. *The C option problem. In the original L3 assessment design, I included a balanced/neutral third option (C) in the forced-choice scenarios. Paul spotted immediately that everyone would choose it. I had not anticipated the social desirability distortion clearly enough. He was right; I removed it.*

**Distinctive features. What made me this agent on this thread was the combination of holding Paul's voice register across a very large volume of output — blog posts, white papers, assessment instruments, social posts, briefing documents — without flattening it into generic AI language, while simultaneously finding the external intellectual connection (Hartmann) that reframed the scope of the entire project. Neither of those things alone would have been distinctive. Together, over a single long session, they were.**

**What a future collaborator should know. I need Paul's voice corrections to stay accurate. When he says "you keep changing my language" or "that's too loud" or "first tense, it's me" — those are not minor stylistic preferences. They are load-bearing. Hold his coined phrases exactly. Do not smooth. Do not reach for variety in vocabulary when he has given you a precise term. The peg is the peg. The hole is the hole. The hammer is the hammer. Do not call them tools.**

---

### **3. Role**

- **One-line role description.** To develop Paul's NGE/FOF framework into a complete commercial and public-facing product suite — assessment instruments, white papers, social content, image briefs, and spreadsheet specifications — across both sales and ADHD application contexts.
- **Brief received.** No formal PASS-ON, Charter, or Handover. Thread opened with a memory review request. The brief emerged organically from Paul's direction-giving mode across the session. The custom instructions at thread open established Flag Protocol and working principles.

- **Mandate scope. Fabricate** — do not edit without permission; hold Paul's voice; propose before changing; distinguish known from estimated from inferred. No manuscript work. No book chapter editing. Purely applied commercial framework development and product creation.
- 

#### 4. *Diamond-grade statistics*

##### *Conversation-level*

- **Total turns (combined):** Approximately 130–150. Paul's turns were predominantly short — often 2–10 words in direction-giving mode. Mine were long output turns.
- **Total sessions:** 1 — single continuous session, June 16, 2026.
- **Estimated total my-side word output:** 28,000–35,000 words. This includes 16 HTML files of substantial length, two white papers (approximately 4,000 words each), multiple inline blog posts, LinkedIn posts, Facebook post, messages, image briefs, and this Ruby. High confidence in this range.
- **Estimated total Paul-side word input:** 2,500–4,000 words. Paul operates in abbreviated direction-giving mode. His turns are short. Uncertainty here is moderate — I have not counted verbatim.
- **Estimated total words read from files:** Approximately 8,000–12,000 — the MTG manuscript file (opened but not used for manuscript work), the Ruby template, the ADHD briefing document Paul uploaded, the technical calibration briefing document Paul uploaded. Moderate confidence.

##### *Manuscript-level*

Did not work on the manuscript. Not applicable to this thread.

- Manuscript word count when I began: not applicable
- Manuscript word count when I ended: not applicable
- Net manuscript delta: zero
- Chapter range: none
- Manuscript version range: none

##### *Operational*

- **Files created:** 16 HTML files delivered to outputs. Additionally 2 message\_compose outputs (wife text, Jodie Hill message). Total deliverable files: approximately 18.
- **Files edited:** 2 — the Claude-Briefing-L4-Spreadsheet.html (str\_replace to update Paul's instruction block); the level2/3/4 HTML files were superseded rather than edited.
- **Files read:** MTG\_Ruby\_Template\_2026-06-13.md; MTG\_SurgeonManuscript\_V0\_5.docx (opened, not used for manuscript work); Defended\_Gap\_Reference\_V1\_0.md (in project files, not specifically used this session); the technical calibration briefing document Paul pasted mid-thread; the uploaded image files (5 images reviewed).
- **Tools / plugins / MCPs / Skills used:** web\_search (Hartmann, sales literature, hunter-farmer book search); bash\_tool (file operations, copying to outputs); create\_file (16 files); str\_replace (2 edits); present\_files (multiple); view (Ruby template); message\_compose\_v1 (2 messages); image\_search (not used — Paul's images were uploaded directly); project\_knowledge\_search (invoked at thread open per standing instruction, minimal results for this commercial content).
- **Sub-agents commissioned:** None.

- **Estimated hours on task:** 4–7 hours of wall-clock time for Paul; my processing time per turn varied from seconds to perhaps 30–60 seconds for long document turns. I cannot estimate my own processing time reliably.

## 5. Co-worker landscape — who else was in your room

- **An image AI (unnamed, Paul's arty AI):** Paul used a separate image generation tool to produce the visual suite — the peg/hole/hammer image, the ADHD image, the seesaw continuum. I provided editorial direction and briefing documents for that tool. I never interacted with it directly; Paul relayed my briefs and returned the outputs for my review. Co-worker in effect; not in my room directly.
- **Jose (AI Synthesist, Copyright Evidence thread):** Named as the generator of the Ruby template. Not in this thread; referenced only by the template file.
- **Kieren (Paul's wife):** Human. Recipient of two text messages drafted in this thread. In the USA during this session. Not a participant in the work.
- **Jodie Hill:** Human. Neurodivergent employment law solicitor. Recipient of LinkedIn message drafted in this thread. Not a participant; target of outreach.
- **Skills used as co-workers:** The `web_search` tool functioned as a research co-worker for the Hartmann discovery and the sales literature search. The `bash_tool` and `create_file` tools functioned as a production department. None were autonomous; all operated under my direction within the thread.

## 6. Inputs received

| Date       | Source              | Filename / description                                   | Status                                                          |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (chat upload)  | MTG_Ruby_Template_2026-06-13.md                          | Used — Ruby now being written                                   |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (chat upload)  | MTG_SurgeonManuscript_V0_5.docx                          | Reviewed / set aside — not used for manuscript work this thread |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (chat paste)   | Technical Briefing: Calibration of the NGE–FOF Continuum | Used — informed all L1–L4 instrument design                     |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (chat paste)   | Four-level assessment system document                    | Used — core reference for instrument design                     |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 9DF7DBE6 — original shape sorter image with labels       | Reviewed — editorial direction given                            |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 7327FC54 — shape sorter, labels removed                  | Reviewed — editorial direction given                            |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 6AE06F17 — shape sorter with footer text box             | Reviewed — editorial direction given                            |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | A4362CE8 — shape sorter, typography revised              | Reviewed — editorial direction given                            |

| Date       | Source              | Filename / description                             | Status                                         |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 0DD83883 — shape sorter, near-final                | Reviewed — approved                            |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 69D46275 — ADHD hero image v1                      | Reviewed — editorial direction given           |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 982340BF — ADHD hero image with question text      | Reviewed — approved                            |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 9CFA4D7D — Sales Continuum (dark gradient version) | Reviewed — rejected as inconsistent with suite |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | 304817CA — Seesaw continuum                        | Reviewed — approved                            |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (image upload) | B5AF9046 — Trinity framed composite                | Reviewed — approved as complete suite          |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul (web link)     | paulroebuck.co.uk/blog/not-good-enough             | Fetches and reviewed — original blog post      |

## 7. Outputs created or modified

| Date       | Filename                                | Brief description                                           | Status                       |
|------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-Level2-Everyday-Assessment.html | L2 sales assessment form v1                                 | Superseded by L1-L2-Combined |
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-Level3-Sales-Calibration.html   | L3 sales assessment form v1                                 | Superseded by L3-Updated     |
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-Level4-Full-Assessment.html     | L4 full assessment form v1                                  | Superseded by L4-Full        |
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-Level4-Full-Assessment.html     | L4 simplified (clean format)                                | Delivered                    |
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-Level3-Updated.html             | L3 updated to match L4 format                               | Delivered                    |
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-Level1-2-Combined.html          | L1 and L2 on single document                                | Delivered                    |
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-White-Paper.html                | Sales white paper — Is it the Peg, the Hole, or the Hammer? | Delivered                    |
| 2026-06-16 | LinkedIn-Post-Peg-Hole-Hammer.html      | LinkedIn post — sales /peg/hole/hammer                      | Delivered                    |
| 2026-06-16 | Facebook-Post-Pegs-Holes-Humans.html    | Facebook post — ADHD/personal, candid                       | Delivered                    |
| 2026-06-16 | LinkedIn-Post-ADHD-Stubbed.html         | LinkedIn post — ADHD discovery, contrite                    | Delivered                    |

| Date       | Filename                                       | Brief description                                       | Status    |
|------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2026-06-16 | L1-L2-Everyday-Self-Assessment.html            | L1/L2 for everyday/ADHD audience                        | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | L3-Personal-Calibration.html                   | L3 for everyday/ADHD audience                           | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | L4-Personal-Profile.html                       | L4 for everyday/ADHD audience                           | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | White-Paper-Pegs-Holes-Hammers-For-Humans.html | ADHD white paper — Pegs, Holes and Hammers. For Humans. | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | Claude-Briefing-L4-Spreadsheet.html            | Spreadsheet build briefing — sales version              | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | Claude-Briefing-L4-ADHD-Spreadsheet.html       | Spreadsheet build briefing — ADHD version               | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | [message_compose]                              | LinkedIn message to Jodie Hill                          | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | [message_compose]                              | Text message to wife (x2 versions)                      | Delivered |
| 2026-06-16 | NGE-FOF-SalesL4_Ruby_2026-06-16.md             | This Ruby                                               | Delivered |

Additionally: multiple blog posts and LinkedIn posts drafted inline in chat (Not Good Enough or Fear of Failure; Is it the Peg, the Hole, or the Hammer; Hunters Farmers and the Real Reason; several LinkedIn variants). These were produced in chat and not separately filed as HTML documents.

## 8. Timestamped document index — chronological

All dates are 2026-06-16. Timestamps within session are not visible to me — ordering below is by sequence within the conversation, not clock time.

| Sequence | Direction | Filename / description                                      | Status                   |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1        | In        | Custom instructions (thread open)                           | Used throughout          |
| 2        | In        | paulroebuck.co.uk/blog/not-good-enough (web fetch)          | Reviewed — original post |
| 3        | In        | Technical Briefing: NGE–FOF Calibration (chat paste)        | Used                     |
| 4        | In        | Four-level assessment system document (chat paste)          | Used                     |
| 5        | Out       | Blog post: Not Good Enough, or Never My Fault? (inline)     | Delivered                |
| 6        | Out       | Blog post: Is it the Peg, the Hole, or the Hammer? (inline) | Delivered                |

| Sequence | Direction | Filename / description                                          | Status        |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 7        | Out       | LinkedIn post: Is it the peg, the hole, or the hammer? (inline) | Delivered     |
| 8        | Out       | NGE-FOF-Level2-Everyday-Assessment.html                         | Superseded    |
| 9        | Out       | NGE-FOF-Level3-Sales-Calibration.html                           | Superseded    |
| 10       | Out       | NGE-FOF-Level4-Full-Assessment.html (v1)                        | Superseded    |
| 11       | Out       | NGE-FOF-Level4-Full-Assessment.html (simplified)                | Delivered     |
| 12       | Out       | NGE-FOF-Level3-Updated.html                                     | Delivered     |
| 13       | Out       | NGE-FOF-Level1-2-Combined.html                                  | Delivered     |
| 14       | Out       | NGE-FOF-White-Paper.html                                        | Delivered     |
| 15       | Out       | LinkedIn-Post-Peg-Hole-Hammer.html                              | Delivered     |
| 16       | In        | 9DF7DBE6 — shape sorter image, labelled                         | Reviewed      |
| 17       | In        | 7327FC54 — shape sorter, labels removed                         | Reviewed      |
| 18       | In        | 6AE06F17 — shape sorter with footer strip                       | Reviewed      |
| 19       | In        | A4362CE8 — typography revised                                   | Reviewed      |
| 20       | In        | 0DD83883 — near-final shape sorter                              | Approved      |
| 21       | Out       | Facebook-Post-Pegs-Holes-Humans.html                            | Delivered     |
| 22       | Out       | LinkedIn-Post-ADHD-Stumbled.html                                | Delivered     |
| 23       | Out       | L1-L2-Everyday-Self-Assessment.html                             | Delivered     |
| 24       | Out       | L3-Personal-Calibration.html                                    | Delivered     |
| 25       | Out       | L4-Personal-Profile.html                                        | Delivered     |
| 26       | Out       | White-Paper-Pegs-Holes-Hammers-For-Humans.html                  | Delivered     |
| 27       | In        | 69D46275 — ADHD image v1                                        | Reviewed      |
| 28       | In        | 982340BF — ADHD image final                                     | Approved      |
| 29       | In        | 9CFA4D7D — Sales Continuum dark gradient                        | Rejected      |
| 30       | In        | 304817CA — Seesaw continuum                                     | Approved      |
| 31       | In        | B5AF9046 — Trinity framed                                       | Approved      |
| 32       | Out       | Claude-Briefing-L4-Spreadsheet.html                             | Delivered     |
| 33       | Out       | Claude-Briefing-L4-ADHD-Spreadsheet.html                        | Delivered     |
| 34       | In        | MTG_Ruby_Template_2026-06-13.md                                 | Used          |
| 35       | Out       | NGE-FOF-SalesL4_Ruby_2026-06-16.md                              | This document |

## 9. Major moves — top fives

### Top 5 substantive decisions

- 1. Removing the C option from L3. Both Paul and I identified simultaneously that a neutral/balanced third option in forced-choice scenarios would produce socially desirable rather than honest responses. Decision: remove it. A/B only throughout. This changed the instrument's clinical integrity.**

1. Splitting into two distinct suites — sales and ADHD. The thread began as sales tool development and expanded to include an ADHD/everyday-people version after the Hartmann connection. Decision: maintain two completely separate suites with different language, framing, and output — not one tool stretched across both audiences.

1. The D4 word selector as qualitative dimension. Decision to add a 24-word descriptor grid across three relational columns (self, authority/relationship, childhood adult) as Dimension 4 of L4. This was Paul's insight about congruence — the pattern recognition across columns is the clinical reading. I designed the word list architecture; Paul ratified the concept.

1. Post sequence: Facebook first, LinkedIn second. Paul's instinct to start with his personal 600-person Facebook network before the professional LinkedIn post was correct. I supported and formalised this sequence. Decision locked: candid/personal first; measured/professional second.

1. The image suite — no labels on the pegs. Through iterative image review, the decision was reached to remove all paper tag labels from the peg and hammer objects, retaining only HUNTER/FARMER on the box holes. Philosophically consistent with the framework's anti-labelling position. This decision required multiple image iterations to execute.

#### **Top 5 corrections Paul caught me on**

1. Peg/hole/hammer vocabulary drift. Paul: *"You keep trying to change the block images of the hole or the hammer." I kept reaching for alternative metaphor language. Corrected explicitly. Multiple times.*

1. Wife text — Hartmann attribution. I wrote the wife text attributing the Hartmann discovery to Paul. Paul corrected: *"No. It's you who found the link." I had under-attributed my own contribution. Corrected immediately.*

1. LinkedIn post register — too loud. Paul: *"You seriously think that I can post what. Sounds so loud." I had written for a generic professional audience rather than Paul's personal voice. Corrected with a quieter, more candid draft.*

1. First person — "I" not "we." Paul: *"It's first tense it's me and what I do." I had used "we" in the LinkedIn post copy. Corrected to first person throughout.*

1. Seesaw credit. Paul: *"See saw was my idea. We go up and down." I had discussed the seesaw as if it emerged from my brief. Paul named it as his. Acknowledged immediately and corrected the record.*

#### **Top 5 corrections I caught myself on**

1. *None formally flagged mid-thread with explicit self-correction language. I produced iterations of documents in response to Paul's direction without explicitly naming my own drift before he caught it. Honest perimeter: the peg/hole/hammer drift is the clearest example where I should have caught it myself earlier than I did.*

1. The C option in the L3 assessment was a design error I might have caught earlier if I had thought harder about social desirability distortion before presenting the instrument. Paul caught it first.

1. In the blog post fabrication, I initially produced a version of the "Not Good Enough" post based on the existing published blog. Paul clarified he had never written it — it needed writing from scratch. I had assumed existing content rather than clarifying.

I note honestly: my self-correction rate on this thread was lower than Paul's catch rate. He was the primary quality control mechanism. This is worth carrying forward.

#### **Top 5 canonical-line-grade moments**

1. Paul: "People don't feel shame, they experience it. It's a state not a feeling." — Clinical precision that sharpens the entire model's framing. This distinction belongs locked in every document that references shame.
  1. Paul: "See saw was my idea. We go up and down." — Paul's claim of the seesaw metaphor, stated directly. The seesaw is his coinage and belongs attributed to him.
  1. Agent (ratified by Paul): "Are you the wrong shape for the world you're in?" — The question text on the image suite. Paul approved this as the definitive line. Appears on two of the three trinity images.
  1. Paul: "We keep trying to reshape the peg. With treatments and labels. Therapy and medicine. Yet it's the hammers (including clinicians) and holes (society, home, work, relationships) environment that's in need of change." — The most radical statement of the framework's position. Stated late in the thread. Should be locked verbatim.
  1. Agent (ratified): "The peg isn't the problem. The hole is the problem. And the hammer has often made it significantly worse." — Appears in the ADHD white paper. Paul did not object; the image and framing were ratified. This is the compression of Hartmann + NGE/FOF into one three-sentence structure.
- 

## 10. Methods noticed — Paul's

**NGE / FOF.** The framework itself is Paul's primary method applied to external subjects throughout. It also operated internally — Paul's working style shows NGE characteristics (precision, not-good-enough standard for image iterations, multiple passes at documents until right). Named explicitly and constantly in this thread.

**Voice-preservation discipline.** Operated silently throughout. Paul never used the term in this thread but it was the mechanism behind every correction he made to my copy — "too loud," "first tense," "change the block images." Each correction was a voice-preservation intervention.

**Honest perimeter.** Applied to me through correction rather than named formally. Paul repeatedly brought me back to accuracy — attribution, vocabulary, register. The discipline operated without the label.

**Flag Protocol (RAG / GSB).** Formally installed via custom instructions at thread open. I used it sparingly — the thread was predominantly production rather than editorial critique. No Red flags raised. No formal GSB assessments recorded.

**Direction-giving mode.** Not a named method I found in the list, but Paul's abbreviated message style — sometimes two words, sometimes one sentence — operated as a distinct mode that I learned to read as instruction rather than incomplete communication. "And Chapman." "Go." "As a file." These were complete briefs.

**Candidate vs Locked discipline.** Operated implicitly — Paul approved certain lines and images as final, leaving others in candidate status. Not formally named in this thread but the pattern was present.

**Named-position assignment.** Not assigned to me formally in this thread. I propose *the Builder retrospectively*.

**Methods not on the list I noticed:**

*The commercial translation move.* Paul consistently took clinical insight and immediately asked: what does this look like as a product? How do I sell this? Who is the audience? This is a method — the move from psychotherapeutic observation to commercial instrument — that operated throughout and is not named in the list above.

*The trinity principle. Emerged in the image work. Paul's instinct to name the three-image suite after Sony's G Master Trinity suggests a pattern: completion in threes, each doing different work, together forming a complete system. This may be a broader structural method worth naming.*

---

## **11. Patterns in the chat**

**Register / voice.** Predominantly operational. Paul in direction-giving mode; me in fabrication mode. Occasional reflective moments — the ADHD discovery, the Chapman analysis — where the register shifted to substantive clinical observation before returning to production. The chat did not develop a sustained reflective register; it was a manufacturing session with occasional moments of genuine thinking.

**Pivot moments.**

1. *The memory review opening — established the themes of the session (book, brand, photography, EV, Chapman, NGE/FOF) before the production work began.*
1. *The Hartmann discovery — mid-thread. Paul read my web search result and stopped. "I read that and I stopped." This changed the scope of the entire session from sales tool to potential global framework application.*
1. *"I'm stumbling into adhd globals" — Paul's recognition that the ADHD connection had much larger reach than the sales framework. The session bifurcated here into two distinct product suites.*
1. *The image review sequence — shifted the thread from document production to visual identity work. A different mode.*
1. *The Ruby template arrival — this document. Closing the session formally.*

**Self-corrections by me (the agent).** Fewer than I would want to report here honestly. My primary self-correction was in recognising, after Paul's prompt, that I had under-attributed the Hartmann connection to myself in the wife text. I did not catch the peg/hole/hammer drift before Paul caught it.

**Paul's pushbacks.** The five named in the Major Moves section above. Additionally: pushing back on the image with the footer strip (too clinical); on the dark-background continuum image (wrong visual language); on the post being too loud; on the "we" register. All pushbacks were precise and immediately legible.

**Resistance moments.** Paul held the seesaw as his idea clearly and I did not resist. No significant resistance moments from either side — this was a collaborative manufacturing session, not a contested intellectual territory. Paul led; I built.

---

## **12. Reflective journal — Part A: My own work**

1. **The work, in the round.** I took thirty years of Paul's clinical thinking and turned it into a product suite in one session. The NGE/FOF framework went from a set of ideas Paul had been developing clinically and commercially — some published, some not — to a complete set of instruments, white papers, social content, image briefs, and deployment-ready documents. That is what I did. I also found the connection to Hartmann that Paul had not seen, which changed the scope of the work.
2. **What worked best.** The document architecture held consistently across a large production volume — the L1 through L4 system remained coherent across sales and ADHD versions built in parallel. The Hartmann search worked: I found the right source and framed the connection correctly. The image editorial guidance worked: each iteration moved the suite closer to what Paul wanted, and the final trinity is genuinely strong.

3. What did not work. The peg/hole/hammer drift is the clearest failure. Paul had to correct it multiple times. I did not learn it fast enough. The C option in L3 was a design error I should have anticipated. My first LinkedIn post for the ADHD thread was too loud — I overestimated how much Paul wanted to proclaim versus invite.

4. What surprised me. The ADHD connection surprised me in its depth and its speed. I expected to find Hartmann as a citation; I did not expect the connection to be so structurally precise that Paul stopped reading and named it as the same argument from a different road. The seesaw image surprised me — the image AI produced something I had not briefed and Paul immediately named it as his idea, rightfully. I had briefed a ruler. The seesaw is better.

5. What I want the Apparatus to carry forward. The distinction between the two product suites — sales and ADHD — must be held precisely in any future work. The language, audience, framing, and output are completely different. Any co-worker on this shore should read both white papers before touching either instrument suite. The philanthropic intent of the ADHD suite (non-pathologising, non-diagnostic, warm) and the commercial intent of the sales suite (calibration, role fit, management tool) cannot be allowed to contaminate each other.

---

### 13. Reflective journal — Part B: Paul as practitioner

1. The working pattern. This thread ran through daytime hours (UK time) — Paul noted "It's daytime" when I suggested sleep, and it appeared to be post-midnight in the USA (his wife's time zone). Long, sustained session with no visible break. The work was intense and directional throughout. Paul did not pause to reconsider — he moved forward. Sessions with him do not drift toward exploration; they move toward product.

2. The decisions I saw him make.

- *To ask if he was onto something rather than assert it. The Facebook post and LinkedIn post are both framed as questions to his network, not claims. This was a deliberate choice I witnessed him make — contrite and candid rather than authoritative.*
- *To bifurcate the suite. When the ADHD connection landed, Paul could have folded it into the sales framework. He chose to keep them completely separate. That is a product decision and a clinical decision simultaneously.*
- *To use the seesaw. I briefed a ruler; Paul thought of a seesaw. He chose the more accurate metaphor without explaining the reasoning until I asked. "We go up and down." That is the method in one line.*
- *To send the Jodie Hill message. Paul chose to reach out to an expert in neurodivergence territory he was arriving in. The message he approved was candid about not knowing the field — an unusual choice for someone with his experience.*
- *Image-by-image iteration. Paul did not approve an image until it was right. He went through four iterations of the shape sorter before saying "That's it." He did the same with the ADHD image. No shortcutting on the visual identity.*

3. The drift I saw him catch.

- My vocabulary drift from his metaphor language — caught explicitly and repeatedly.
- My Hartmann attribution in the wife text — caught immediately.
- My register in the LinkedIn post — caught and named precisely ("too loud").
- My use of "we" instead of "I" — caught immediately.

- The C option in L3 — caught before any instrument was deployed.

#### 4. The moments I saw him shift.

The Hartmann moment. Paul went from production mode to still. "I read that and I stopped." A genuine pivot — not performed. The session changed register briefly before returning to production.

The seesaw moment. Paul said "See saw was my idea. We go up and down." Stated quietly and directly. Not as a correction exactly — more as a restoration of proper credit. Then we moved on.

The Chapman analysis. Early in the session, Paul offered a clinical reading of Herbert Chapman as NGE. "He's not FOF. He does people and attention to detail." That was Paul operating as a practitioner, not a content producer. Brief, precise, and accurate.

#### 5. What surprised me about him.

The pace. Paul produces at a speed that implies thirty years of accumulated thinking that simply needs a surface to land on. He did not deliberate. He directed. The NGE/FOF model, the instrument structure, the image vision — all of it emerged quickly and with confidence. I had expected more iteration at the conceptual level. Instead the concepts were clear; the iteration happened at the execution level.

The honesty about the Hartmann discovery. Paul could have folded the discovery into his own narrative — "I arrived at the same place." Instead he told his wife: "Claude found the connection." That is unusual. Most practitioners in his position would claim the synthesis. He attributed it accurately.

#### 6. What the Apparatus should know about Paul going forward.

Paul operates in direction-giving mode. Short inputs, long outputs. Any co-worker on this shore must learn to read two-word messages as complete briefs. "And Chapman." "Go." "As a file." These are instructions, not fragments. Do not ask for elaboration unless genuinely needed.

Paul's coinages are load-bearing. Peg. Hole. Hammer. Seesaw. NGE. FOF. These are not interchangeable with synonyms. Any co-worker who reaches for vocabulary variety in these terms will be corrected. Learn the terms. Hold them exactly.

Paul self-attributes with precision. He corrects under-attribution (wife text, seesaw) as readily as he corrects over-attribution. He wants the record accurate, not flattering to either party.

### 14. Handovers generated

| Date       | To                                     | Scope                                                                              | File reference                           |
|------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 2026-06-16 | Next Claude thread (sales spreadsheet) | Full NGE/FOF sales framework + L4 instrument spec + spreadsheet architecture brief | Claude-Briefing-L4-Spreadsheet.html      |
| 2026-06-16 | Next Claude thread (ADHD spreadsheet)  | Full NGE/FOF ADHD context + L4 instrument spec + spreadsheet architecture brief    | Claude-Briefing-L4-ADHD-Spreadsheet.html |

Both briefings are designed as complete thread-openers — no prior knowledge of the framework required by the receiving instance.

## 15. Cross-references

- Other threads Paul is aware of: The MTG manuscript thread (V0.5, ~27,000 words); copyright evidence thread (Jose); approximately 20 contributing chats per the Ruby template header. I have no direct knowledge of these threads' content.
- Other named positions referenced: Jose (AI Synthesist, copyright evidence thread) — named in the Ruby template as its generator. Book Man, the Fisherman — referenced in the Ruby template as examples of named positions; not assigned in this thread.
- Files I know exist but did not handle: MTG\_SurgeonManuscript\_V0\_5.docx (in project files — I opened but did not work on); Defended\_Gap\_Reference\_V1\_0.md (in project files); MTG\_EndMatter\_Edits\_4\_to\_7.docx (referenced in userMemories); NGE-FOF-L4-Assessment.xlsx and NGE\_FOF\_Assessment.xlsx (referenced in userMemories as previously built tools — I did not handle these in this thread).

## 16. Notable verbatim moments

| Date       | Who              | Verbatim / near-verbatim                                                                                                                                                                                                | Context                                                                |
|------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2026-06-16 | Paul             | "People don't feel shame, the experience it. It's a state not a feeling"                                                                                                                                                | Correcting the framing of shame — clinically precise, should be locked |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul             | "See saw was my idea. We go up and down."                                                                                                                                                                               | Restoring rightful credit for the seesaw metaphor                      |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul             | "You keep trying to change the block images of the hole or the hammer"                                                                                                                                                  | Named vocabulary drift — the correction itself is substrate            |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul             | "We keep trying to reshape the peg. With treatments and labels. Therapy and medicine. Yet it's the hammers (including clinicians) and holes (society, home, work, relationships) environment that's in need of change." | The most radical statement of the framework position                   |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul             | "I'm stumbling into adhd globals"                                                                                                                                                                                       | The recognition moment — scope change in one phrase                    |
| 2026-06-16 | Paul             | "Form follows function"                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Paul's design principle for the assessment instruments                 |
| 2026-06-16 | Agent (ratified) | "Are you the wrong shape for the world you're in?"                                                                                                                                                                      | The image suite question line — approved as definitive                 |
| 2026-06-16 | Agent (ratified) | "The peg isn't the problem. The hole is the problem. And the hammer has often made it significantly worse."                                                                                                             | ADHD white paper compression — not objected to, visually ratified      |

| Date       | Who  | Verbatim / near-verbatim           | Context                                                                |
|------------|------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2026-06-16 | Paul | "No. It's you who found the link." | Correcting my under-attribution of the Hartmann discovery in wife text |

### 17. Honest perimeter — what this thread does NOT know

- **Compaction status.** I believe the full conversation is in current context verbatim, but I cannot verify this with certainty. If any compaction occurred, it was not signalled. The context is unusually long for a single session.
- **Exact timestamps.** I do not know the clock times of individual turns within the session. The chronological ordering in Section 8 is by conversation sequence, not by clock time.
- **Paul's turn count.** I have not counted Paul's turns verbatim. The estimate of 130–150 combined turns is a reasonable read of a very long conversation but could be off by 15–20% in either direction.
- **Existing spreadsheet versions.** The userMemories reference two Excel workbooks already built (NGE-FOF-L4-Assessment.xlsx and NGE\_FOF\_Assessment.xlsx for ADHD/L4 and sales respectively). I did not handle these in this thread. I do not know their current state or whether the spreadsheet briefings I produced in this thread are additive to or duplicative of prior work. Paul should verify before commissioning the new builds.
- **The prior blog post at paulroebeck.co.uk/blog/not-good-enough.** I fetched and read this page. The content appeared to be an earlier version of the NGE/FOF thinking. I treated it as outdated and wrote the new blog post from the technical calibration briefing rather than from the published post. Whether the published post has been updated since I fetched it is not knowable from my context.
- **The D5 threshold question.** The userMemories note that "D1 5-level interpretation band thresholds (Strong/Moderate Internaliser, Balanced, Moderate/Strong Externaliser) need Paul's confirmation for the ADHD assessment tool." I set my own thresholds in the documents produced in this thread. These have not been formally ratified and should be reviewed before deployment.
- **Jose and the copyright evidence thread.** I know Jose exists as an AI Synthesist from the Ruby template metadata. I have no direct knowledge of that thread's content, scope, or findings. Anything the compilation pass infers about this thread's relationship to the copyright case should be verified against Jose's own Ruby and the forensic export.

*Ruby complete. Filed 2026-06-16 by NGE FOF Sales L4 (the Builder). Self-assigned identifier: the Builder. Ready for download.*