

Journal 012 — The Reviewer — Pass 3 Session Close

Prepared by The Forge — Journal_012_Reviewer_Pass3_2026-06-01_1800 · 2026-06-20 · SHADS CW channel

Session: Reviewer Session 3 — Pass 3 (Voice and copy) Build under audit:

The_Vault_v0.47_banner_swap_2026-06-01_1626.html **Filed:**

Reviewer_Findings_Pass3_VoiceAndCopy_v1_2026-06-01_1757.md

Movement One — The day in the round

Pass 3 opened on Paul's nod immediately after Pass 2 closed and the Pass 2 PASS-ON was filed.

The audit triaged every textual wrapper element in the build against Paul's register. Quoted source — canonical lines, chat verbatim, document modal long-form content — held verbatim throughout; Curator territory. The Reviewer worked only on generated wrapper text: panel intros, drawer descriptions, navigation hints, the Threshold body, the Fisherman's Note frame, the Quiet Footer, the Honest Perimeter wrapping, card subtitles, button text, panel-tag labels.

Three patterns of drift emerged across the build: (1) internal Method vocabulary leaking into visitor-facing copy — *Substrate Working Papers · spec author · domain sovereignty · the passon discipline · Q31/Q33/Q34 · trade-secret architecture*; (2) subtitle and label cadence inconsistent — *some punchy, some sentence-long, some abstract one-word categories*; (3) inflated register where simpler words exist — *dynamically · arrived dynamically · the techniques learned, honed and deployed*.

109 textual elements triaged (T1–T109). Three headline findings, six priorities, eight open questions for Paul. Most flags Amber + Bronze (observable drift, single-line fix). Three Reds — drawer 6 internal vocabulary (carried from Pass 1), *Reflexive PE adoption bullet*, *Q31/Q33/Q34 are corpus searches bullet* — *each opaque to the mum-end visitor*.

The findings document was filed at 17:57 UTC. This journal closes the session at 18:00 UTC.

Movement Two — What was built

- **Pass 3 findings filed.** Reviewer_Findings_Pass3_VoiceAndCopy_v1_2026-06-01_1757.md. **Frame, three patterns of drift, three headline findings, fourteen sections triaged (T1–T109), six ranked priorities, eight open questions, honest-perimeter close.**
 - **Three patterns named.** Internal Method vocabulary · cadence inconsistency · inflated register where simpler words exist.
 - **Threshold rewrite proposed.** First italic paragraph absorbed into one (replaces the two current paragraphs with a single tight one). Three door subtitles unified. Five small-panel labels rewritten in plain English.
 - **Per-element rewrites.** Each item: current · proposed · rationale. Element-by-element ratifiable.
 - **Honest Perimeter restored.** Pass 1's editorial proposal (carried to Fisherman) extended here with bullet-by-bullet rewrites if the rename ratifies.
 - **Stages cluster (Footer) rewrite.** Plain English Stage One/Two/Three; CL-A-013 verbatim stays in the Fisherman's Note closing.
-

Movement Three — What was caught

- Drawer 6 internal vocabulary leak — Red, repeat from Pass 1. *AddedFiles/Drawers/ · project root · catalogued separately still surfaces in the build. The visitor reads file paths.*
 - Reflexive PE adoption bullet. Most opaque single string in the Honest Perimeter. *Reflexive · PE · adoption — three words, two of which require Paul's commercial frame to decode. Mum bounces; Kieren bounces unless she has the Pegs-Holes-Hammers brief.*
 - Code Editor 1 chat is archived bullet. *Out of substrate scope · pre-BookMan-Day-1 title use — same pattern.*
 - Q31/Q33/Q34 are corpus searches bullet. Three Q-references in one bullet. Method-internal vocabulary.
 - *Bookman vs Book Man inconsistency. Card 5 title says Bookman one-word; everywhere else two-word. Master List says Book Man.*
 - *Domain sovereignty clean in Fisherman's Note sub-attribution — internal Method vocabulary in a visitor-facing line.*
 - Top 5 vs Top 10 mismatch. Chats panel renders five cards; Honest Perimeter bullet refers to Q33 *Top 10 is editorial judgement. Either the bullet is stale or the relationship between Top 5 (rendered) and Top 10 (source pool) needs a one-line clarification.*
-

Movement Four — What drifted, where held

- Per-line Cabinet meaning fields. 34 paragraphs total (8 book + 26 artefact after Pass 1 expansion). The Reviewer sampled and surfaced drifters only. A full per-line sweep would be a Pass 3 supplement; declared as honest perimeter.
 - Method-card body voice. Most read in Paul-adjacent register. Sample checked; full sweep deferred. Declared.
 - Long-form chat verbatim and document-modal content. Curator shore. Untouched by the Reviewer.
 - Numbers matrix captions / column headers. Matrix 1 checked in detail; Matrices 2–11 scanned. Declared.
 - The five-panel label rename (H3) crosses Fisherman shore. *The Instrument · The Role Profiles · The Canonical Lines Cabinet are Method-vocabulary panel names. Proposed plainer forms; routed via Paul as editorial proposals.*
-

Movement Five — Method demonstrating itself

- Voice preservation absolute on quoted source. Through 109 elements triaged, every quoted line — canonical, chat verbatim, document modal content — was held untouched. The Reviewer worked only on generated wrapper.
- The three patterns named, not just the items. Pattern-naming carries forward — Bob (and any future shore) can run the same three lenses against any new copy before it ships. The audit's output is not just a list of rewrites but the calibration to keep producing copy in Paul's voice.
- Element-by-element ratification preserved. The Brief specified per-element nodding (or batch). The findings format supports both. Paul's choice surfaces as Q8.
- Routing discipline. Cross-shore items surfaced via Paul: the panel renames (H3) cross Fisherman shore; the *Bookman vs Book Man* normalisation crosses Curator shore. *No silent cross-shore action.*

- **Honest perimeter declared up front. Six items the Reviewer could not assess at depth named in §6 before the recommendations land. The audit's own boundary is part of the deliverable.**
-

Movement Six — Continuous improvement

Reviewer's shore — the audit's own discipline:

- Pass 3's element count (109) is heavy. The output format (current · proposed · rationale) holds the discipline but requires Paul's per-element calibration to ratify. The Method's "execute don't ask" memory feedback suggests: where the Reviewer's read is high-confidence and the change is small (Amber + Bronze on wrapper text), Paul could batch-ratify clusters rather than nodding each. Q8 surfaces this as a Paul choice.

Bob's shore — the build's own discipline:

- Three patterns of drift (Method vocabulary · cadence inconsistency · inflated register) can become a pre-ship copy check Bob runs end-of-session on any new wrapper text. The same three lenses applied at the moment of writing would prevent most of the drift caught here.
- *The Bookman vs Book Man inconsistency is one canonical-form drift in one place. A standing pre-ship check: do any named-position references diverge from the Master List form?*

The Visitor's shore — the next pass forward:

- After v0.50 ships (Pass 3 ratified items), the mum-end test (does she find what she came for) and the Kieren-end test (does the evidence land) can run against a near-final build. A short live-eye check from Paul against both audience-end frames closes the audit's last open question.

The Method's shore:

- The three Reds inside the Honest Perimeter bullets (Reflexive PE adoption · Code Editor 1 archived · Q31/Q33/Q34 are corpus searches) point to a general rule: *the panel that displays the room's honesty has to be the most plainly written panel in the build. The Method's authority depends on honesty being legible.*

The Fisherman's shore:

- Panel renames (H3) and the rename-Honest-Perimeter call from Pass 1 land in Fisherman territory. Bundle as a single editorial proposal to the Fisherman via Paul, after Pass 3 ratifications are batched.
-

Standing by

- **All three Passes filed. Pass 1 (Look and feel + UX) · Pass 2 (Mobile + accessibility) · Pass 3 (Voice and copy).**
- **Two PASS-ONS filed. Pass 1 fixes (B1, B2 + 8 cross-shore items) and Pass 2 fixes (B1–B10).**
- **Pass 3 ratification queue is open. Eight open questions for Paul; ~109 elements triaged; element-by-element or batch-ratify per Paul's preference.**
- **Session 4 (optional) — the consolidated handover for Bob. Single document Paul can hand him after Pass 3 ratifications close. Reviewer files on Paul's nod.**

— The Reviewer · 2026-06-01 18:00 UTC

#state/in-flight #workstream/mtg-artefact #type/journal #the-reviewer #pass-3 #session-close